

1 Stacy Tolchin (CA SBN #217431)
2 *Email: Stacy@Tolchinimmigration.com*
3 Megan Brewer (CA SBN #268248)
4 *Email: Megan@Tolchinimmigration.com*
5 Law Offices of Stacy Tolchin
6 776 E. Green St., Suite 210
7 Pasadena, CA 91101
8 Telephone: (213) 622-7450
9 Facsimile: (213) 622-7233

10 Khaled Alrabe (CA SBN #349899)
11 *Email: khaled@nipnl.org*
12 Matthew S. Vogel (admitted *pro hac vice*)
13 *Email: matt@nipnl.org*
14 National Immigration Project of the National Lawyers Guild (NIPNLG)
15 2201 Wisconsin Ave NW, Suite 200
16 Washington, DC 20007
17 Telephone: (202) 470-2082
18 Facsimile: (617) 227-5495

19 Counsel for Plaintiff
20 *(continued on next page)*

21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE
CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**

Martin VARGAS, individually and as
Successor in Interest of the Estate of
Martin Vargas Arellano,

Plaintiff,

v.

THE GEO GROUP and WELLPATH L.L.C.

Defendants.

**THIRD AMENDED
COMPLAINT FOR
DAMAGES**

Jury Trial Requested

1 Laboni A. Hoq (CA SBN #224140)

2 *Email: laboni@hoqlaw.com*

3 Hoq Law APC

4 P.O. Box 753

5 South Pasadena, CA 91030

6 Telephone: (213) 973-9004

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

INTRODUCTION

1
2 1. Plaintiff Martin Vargas is the son of Martin Vargas Arellano and files
3 this action individually and as Mr. Vargas Arellano's successor in interest.

4 2. Mr. Vargas Arellano was a medically vulnerable individual who was
5 detained by the U.S. Immigration and Customs Enforcement ("ICE") at the
6 Adelanto Processing Center ("Adelanto") during the COVID-19 pandemic, and
7 then died there due to Defendants' gross negligence.

8 3. Adelanto is a privately operated immigration detention center
9 operated by Defendant The GEO Group, Inc. ("GEO") through its contract with
10 ICE. GEO sub-contracted medical services at Adelanto to Defendant Wellpath,
11 LLC ("Wellpath") during this time.

12 4. On or about December 10, 2020, Mr. Vargas Arellano contracted
13 COVID-19 while detained at Adelanto. In the three months following his COVID-
14 19 infection, Mr. Vargas Arellano suffered a string of COVID-related medical
15 complications, including multiple hospitalizations, a stroke, and ultimately his
16 death.

17 5. On March 8, 2021, Mr. Vargas Arellano died at the age of 55 due to
18 complications brought on by COVID-19.

19 6. GEO was aware that Mr. Vargas Arellano, who was wheelchair
20 bound, was at high risk of serious illness and death if he were to contract COVID-
21 19 due to his age and multiple chronic conditions including high blood pressure,
22 diabetes, liver disease, cellulitis, hepatitis C, and severe psychiatric illness.

23 7. As the entity responsible for operating the Adelanto Detention Center,
24 including ensuring the health and well-being of detainees, under its contract with
25 ICE, GEO also was subject to a number of COVID-19 specific detention standards.
26 Among those were ICE's COVID-19 Pandemic Response Requirements ("PRR"),
27 ICE's Performance Based National Detention Standards ("PBNDS"), U.S. Centers
28 for Disease Control ("CDC") guidelines on managing COVID-19 in correctional

1 facilities, and federal court orders in *Roman v. Wolf*, No. 5:20-cv-00768-THJ-PVC
2 (C.D. Cal.), a class action suit on behalf of immigrants detained in Adelanto
3 seeking relief based on the facility's failure to implement necessary protective
4 measures during the COVID-19 pandemic.

5 8. Despite its knowledge of Mr. Vargas Arellano's immuno-
6 compromised condition and the heightened measures it was required to take to
7 protect detainees like him from COVID-19, GEO failed to implement and enforce
8 the required COVID-19 protections at Adelanto.

9 9. These failures resulted in multiple widespread outbreaks of COVID-
10 19 at Adelanto both before and after Mr. Vargas Arellano contracted COVID-19,
11 including between September 2020 and January 2021.

12 10. In September 2020, Adelanto had the largest COVID-19 outbreak
13 among immigration detention centers in the United States with almost 150 detained
14 individuals testing positive for the virus, in addition to significant numbers of GEO
15 staff testing positive as well. Because of the deficient conditions in Adelanto, the
16 Court in *Roman v. Wolf*, No. 5:20-cv-00768-THJ-PVC, determined that the
17 conditions at the facility violated detainees' due process rights to reasonable safety
18 under the Fifth Amendment.

19 11. The *Roman* Court determined that the September outbreak was likely
20 caused by an Adelanto staff member who reported to work while carrying the
21 COVID-19 virus. *Roman v. Wolf*, No. EDCV2000768TJHPVCX, 2020 WL
22 5797918, at 2 (C.D. Cal. Sept. 29, 2020), *aff'd in part, vacated in part, remanded*,
23 977 F.3d 935 (9th Cir. 2020) ("September 29, 2020, *Roman* Order").

24 12. A second COVID-19 outbreak occurred in November and December
25 of 2020 with hundreds of staff and detainees testing positive for COVID-19. A
26 Special Master in the *Roman* case again concluded that the virus was most likely
27 brought into the facility by Adelanto staff.

28 13. GEO failed to comply with its obligations under the PBNDS, PRR,

1 and CDC mandates. GEO's staff screening was severely inadequate, as it failed to
2 comprehensively screen all staff, and allowed staff exposed to COVID-19 to enter
3 the facility. GEO also did not conduct mandatory twice-daily screenings for
4 detainees at high risk, did not log PPE use among staff, did not conduct contact
5 tracing of Mr. Vargas Arellano (or any other detainees) following their infection,
6 did not discipline any employees for COVID-19 compliance issues including PPE
7 and social distancing, and did not have a COVID-19 mitigation plan as it was
8 required to do so.

9 14. Wellpath provided inadequate care to Mr. Vargas Arellano, including
10 failing to transfer him to a higher level of care despite his severe post-COVID-19
11 complications; ignoring critical symptoms such as significant weight loss, and
12 persistent anemia; neglecting to provide necessary pulmonary rehabilitation and
13 physical therapy; disregarding his high risk of blood clots and stroke; failing to
14 adequately monitor or treat his ongoing shortness of breath and chest pain; failing
15 to account for his severe mental illness including schizophrenia which
16 compromised his ability to make informed decisions about his medical care; and
17 delaying response to his medical crises, including a severe fall he suffered while in
18 the infirmary that left him bloody and bruised, which Defendants did not discover
19 until the next day.

20 15. On December 10, 2020, Mr. Vargas Arellano tested positive for
21 COVID-19 while in the Adelanto infirmary, which he never fully recovered from.
22 Following his death on March 8, 2021, in response to a *Roman* Court-ordered
23 inquiry into his death, ICE attested in an interrogatory that Mr. Vargas Arellano
24 contracted COVID-19 from a Wellpath medical provider and that this was Mr.
25 Vargas Arellano's only known contact with a COVID-19 positive individual. This
26 statement was incorrect.

27 16. The ICE response in that interrogatory was based on representations
28 made by GEO staff, including James Janecka, Adelanto's Facility Administrator,

1 who did so in consultation with his superiors Joseph Moorhead and Paul Laird, as
2 well as GEO's counsel Spencer Winepol, and Wellpath staff, who failed to provide
3 ICE with full information about how Mr. Vargas Arellano likely contracted
4 COVID-19. GEO knowingly provided ICE false information about all possible
5 sources of Mr. Vargas Arellano's COVID-19 exposure, instead attempting to point
6 the finger solely at a Wellpath staff member, to evade responsibility for its own
7 failures to take multiple required precautions that would have prevented Mr.
8 Vargas Arellano from contracting COVID-19 when he did.

9 17. In fact, by the time Mr. Vargas Arellano tested positive for COVID-
10 19 in the Adelanto infirmary on December 10, 2020, multiple patients housed in
11 the infirmary, as well as Adelanto staff assigned there at that time, had also
12 contracted or been exposed to COVID-19 in early December 2020. However, GEO
13 and Wellpath failed to conduct adequate contact tracing of staff, or *any* contact
14 tracing whatsoever of *any* of the detainees who had tested positive for COVID-19
15 at that time.

16 18. Additionally, GEO allowed Adelanto staff who had been exposed to
17 and who had contracted COVID-19 to enter the facility and work at the infirmary
18 at that time, without being tested for COVID-19, being subject to regular
19 symptoms checks, or being monitored to ensure compliance with mask and social
20 distancing mandates, in violation of the PRR and *Roman* court mandates.

21 19. Compounding these failures, GEO staff including James Janecka,
22 Joseph Moorhead, Paul Laird and other key GEO staff failed to comply with
23 known obligations to preserve video surveillance, and as a result GEO destroyed
24 video surveillance that could have verified the extent of Defendants' compliance
25 with the requisite COVID-19 standards, including GEO and Wellpath staff
26 compliance with mask mandates and other PPE obligations, social distancing, and
27 the likely sources of Mr. Vargas Arellano's COVID-19 exposure, as well as their
28 overall compliance with required detainee medical care standards.

20. Plaintiff Vargas seeks damages for pain and suffering, as well as punitive damages related to Defendants' actions that caused the death of Mr. Vargas Arellano in excess of \$75,000 as Mr. Vargas Arellano's Successor in Interest.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

21. This Court has jurisdiction over the present action based on 28 U.S.C. § 1332(a) (diversity) because the matter in controversy exceeds \$75,000 and is between citizens of different states. Plaintiff is a citizen of California. Defendant GEO is a corporation headquartered in Florida, and Defendant Wellpath is a corporation headquartered in Tennessee.

22. The amount in controversy in this action exceeds \$75,000. Plaintiff seeks damages for pain and suffering, as well as punitive damages related to Defendants' death of Mr. Vargas Arellano, in addition to attorneys' fees available under Cal. Gov. Code § 7320, all of which well exceed the \$75,000 jurisdictional threshold.

23. Venue is proper with this Court pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b) (general venue) because a substantial part of the events or omissions giving rise to the claim occurred in Adelanto, California, in the Central District of California; and there is no real property involved in this action.

PARTIES

24. Plaintiff Vargas is a citizen of the United States. Plaintiff Vargas is the biological son of Martin Vargas Arellano and the Successor in Interest to his father Martin Vargas Arellano. At the time of his death, Mr. Vargas Arellano was unmarried. Plaintiff Vargas resides in Victorville, California.

25. Defendant GEO is a private prison corporation, headquartered in Boca Raton, Florida, that operates Adelanto and receives substantial federal funding. Defendant GEO contracts with ICE to provide detention services and medical care for detainees at Adelanto. GEO is incorporated in Florida and its principal place of business is Boca Raton, Florida.

1 26. Defendant Wellpath, formerly known as Correct Care Solutions, is the
2 medical provider at Adelanto. During all times relevant to this case, GEO
3 subcontracted to Wellpath its contractual obligation to ICE to provide medical care
4 to immigration detainees at the Adelanto facility. Wellpath is a citizen of
5 Delaware. Wellpath is a Delaware limited liability company, wholly owned by
6 Justice Served Health Holdings, LLC, a Delaware limited liability company.
7 Justice Served Health Holdings, LLC's sole member is Wellpath Holdings, Inc., a
8 Delaware corporation.

9 27. The United States was previously a defendant in this case but has entered
10 into a settlement agreement with Plaintiff and has since been dismissed from this
11 action.

12 **FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS**

13 **ICE Mandates to Protect Detainees from COVID-19**

14 28. In December 2019, the virus SARS-CoV-2 was identified in China as
15 causing an outbreak of a new, communicable respiratory illness, now known as
16 coronavirus disease 2019, or COVID-19. Following the spread of the virus to the
17 United States, the U.S. Secretary of Health and Human Services declared a
18 nationwide public health emergency on January 31, 2020.

19 29. On March 27, 2020, ICE issued a Memorandum on Coronavirus Disease
20 2019 (COVID-19), Action Plan, Revision 1.¹ On April 10, 2020, ICE published its
21 COVID-19 Pandemic Response Requirements ("PRR").²

22
23 ¹ Memorandum from Enrique M. Lucero, Executive Associate Director of ICE
24 Enforcement and Removal Operations, Memorandum on Coronavirus Disease 2019
25 (COVID-19) Action Plan, Revision 1 (Mar. 27, 2020), <https://www.ice.gov/doclib/coronavirus/attF.pdf>.

26 ² ICE Enforcement and Removal Operations, COVID-19 Pandemic Response
27 Requirements, Version 1.0 (Apr. 10, 2020),
28 <https://www.ice.gov/doclib/coronavirus/eroCOVID19responseReqsCleanFacilities->

1 30.ICE’s contract with GEO to operate Adelanto mandates GEO’s
2 compliance with ICE’s 2011 Performance-Based National Detention Standards
3 (“PBNDS”) as revised in December 2016.³

4 31.Similarly, GEO’s contract with Wellpath required Wellpath to follow the
5 PBNDS as revised in December 2016.

6 32.The PBNDS establishes required policies and practices relating to
7 detainee care and facility management, which GEO and Wellpath failed to follow
8 at times relevant to this case, including but are not limited to the following:

- 9 a. facilities must ensure that detainees have access to a continuum of
10 health care services, including screening, prevention, health
11 education, diagnosis, and treatment.⁴ This includes mental health
12 treatment;
- 13 b. CDC “guidelines for the prevention and control of infectious and
14 communicable diseases shall be followed;”⁵
- 15 c. “[f]acilities shall comply with current and future plans implemented
16 by federal, state or local authorities addressing specific public health
17 issues.”⁶
- 18 d. “[e]very facility shall directly or contractually provide its detainee
19 population with . . . [m]edically necessary and appropriate medical . . .

20
21 [v1.pdf](#). Updated versions of the PRR can be accessed here:
22 <https://www.ice.gov/coronavirus/prr>.

23 ³ See ICE Performance-Based National Detention Standards 2011,
24 <https://www.ice.gov/doclib/detention-standards/2011/pbnds2011r2016.pdf>.

25 ⁴ *Id.* at 257–281.

26 ⁵ *Id.* at 258.

27 ⁶ *Id.* at 261–62.
28

1 health care;”⁷ and

2 e. “[e]ach facility shall have written plans that address the management
3 of infectious and communicable diseases, including screening,
4 prevention, education, identification, monitoring and surveillance,
5 immunization (when applicable), treatment, follow-up, isolation
6 (when indicated) and reporting to local, state and federal agencies,”⁸
7 and such “[p]lans shall include . . . control, treatment and prevention
8 strategies; . . . procedures for the identification, surveillance,
9 immunization, follow-up and isolation of patients; hand hygiene;
10 [and] management of infectious diseases.”⁹

11 f. “[a] detainee who is determined to require health care beyond facility
12 resources shall be transferred in a timely manner to an appropriate
13 facility.”

14 33. In April 2020, ICE established the PRR, which supplements the PBNDS
15 obligations and sets forth mandatory requirements related to the management of
16 COVID-19 at immigration detention facilities. ICE has updated the PRR several
17 times throughout the course of the COVID-19 pandemic.¹⁰ At the time of Mr.
18 Vargas Arellano’s COVID-19 illness and death, the PRR Version 5.0 was in
19 effect.¹¹ The PRR 5.0 required a list of measures be implemented at immigration

20
21 ⁷ *Id.* at 260.

22 ⁸ *Id.* at 261.

23 ⁹ *Id.*

24
25 ¹⁰ *See supra* note 4.

26 ¹¹ *See* PRR, Version 5.0 (Oct. 27, 2020),
27 [https://www.ice.gov/doclib/coronavirus/eroCOVID19responseReqsCleanFacilities-](https://www.ice.gov/doclib/coronavirus/eroCOVID19responseReqsCleanFacilities-v5.pdf)
28 [v5.pdf](https://www.ice.gov/doclib/coronavirus/eroCOVID19responseReqsCleanFacilities-v5.pdf).

1 detention facilities related to COVID-19 testing, isolation, prevention, and
2 treatment.

3 34.GEO and Wellpath are contractually required to adhere to the PRR
4 standards, but repeatedly failed to do so at times relevant to this case. Their
5 noncompliance included, but was not limited to the following PRR standards:

- 6 g. “[T]emperature and verbal screening of high risk (vulnerable)
7 detainees will be conducted twice daily during detention utilizing a
8 structured screening tool and be documented in the facility’s
9 records.”¹²
- 10 h. Medical providers must immediately evaluate symptomatic detainees
11 to determine their care plan and housing placement.
- 12 i. A medical assessment was to be conducted daily, with more frequent
13 monitoring of vital signs.
- 14 j. Those who tested positive or exhibited symptoms had to be
15 “immediately placed under medical isolation in a separate
16 environment from other individuals and medically evaluated.”¹³
- 17 k. High-risk detainees who tested positive were to be “housed in the
18 medical housing unit or infirmary area of the facility or, if
19 unavailable, hospitalized.”¹⁴
- 20 l. If a facility could not provide the necessary level of care, “detainees
21 who require a higher level of care than can be safely provided at the
22 detention facility must be referred to community medical resources
23

24 _____
25 ¹² *Id.* at 14.

26 ¹³ *Id.* at 16.

27 ¹⁴ *Id.* at 15
28

1 when needed.”¹⁵

2 m. Upon identification of a suspected COVID-19 case inside the facility,
3 “facilities shall begin implementing management strategies while test
4 results are pending.”¹⁶ These measures included placing symptomatic
5 individuals under medical isolation, quarantining close contacts, and
6 ensuring necessary medical care while following infection control
7 protocols and PPE requirements.

8 n. Every facility housing ICE detainees was required to maintain “a
9 COVID-19 mitigation plan.”¹⁷

10 o. Staff screening protocols required screening for COVID-19 symptoms
11 and exposure history upon entry.¹⁸ Those exhibiting symptoms “must
12 be denied access to the facility”¹⁹ to be barred from entry, while
13 asymptomatic staff identified as close contacts of a confirmed
14 COVID-19 case were expected to quarantine at home “to the
15 maximum extent possible” unless essential staffing shortages
16 precluded quarantine.²⁰

17 p. The use of personal protective equipment (“PPE”) was required for all
18 staff and detainees, consistent with CDC guidelines.

19 q. Cohorting, isolation, and quarantine measures were required for
20

21 ¹⁵ *Id.* at 15.

22 ¹⁶ *Id.* at 29.

23 ¹⁷ PRR 5.0, at 6.

24 ¹⁸ *Id.* at 26.

25 ¹⁹ *Id.*

26 ²⁰ *Id.* at 20.

1 suspected and confirmed COVID-19 cases;²¹

2 r. Detainees exhibiting severe symptoms must be provided with
3 adequate medical care and hospitalization referrals;²²

4 35. The CDC also issued “Interim Guidance on Management of Coronavirus
5 Disease 2019 (COVID-19) in Correctional and Detention Facilities,” which were
6 incorporated into ICE’s PRRs, but also constituted independent mandates that
7 Defendants were required to follow. Among them was the requirement that “[s]taff
8 identified as close contacts of someone with COVID-19 should self-quarantine at
9 home for 14 days, unless a shortage of critical staff precludes quarantine.”²³ GEO
10 repeatedly and continuously defied this obligation, resulting in two of the biggest
11 COVID-19 outbreaks in detention centers across the country, the second of which
12 led to Mr. Vargas Arellano contracting COVID-19 and dying.

13 **The Adelanto Detention Center’s History of Failing to Meet Detainee**
14 **Healthcare Standards**

15 36. In 2011, ICE and the City of Adelanto entered into an Intergovernmental
16 Service Agreement (“IGSA”) to establish an immigration facility in Adelanto.
17 GEO was subcontracted to run the facility.

18 37. In 2016, after a number of allegations about medical negligence at
19 Adelanto emerged including several related to detainee deaths, ICE officials
20 required GEO to improve medical care, particularly as it applies to people with

21
22 ²¹ PRR 5.0, at 30, 17.

23 ²² PRR 5.0, at 15.

24
25 ²³ The version of the CDC’s guidance in effect during part of Mr. Vargas
26 Arellano’s COVID-19 illness—from December 3, 2020 to February 18, 2021—can
be accessed here:

27 [https://web.archive.org/web/20201210030827/https://www.cdc.gov/coronavirus/20](https://web.archive.org/web/20201210030827/https://www.cdc.gov/coronavirus/2019-ncov/community/correction-detention/guidance-correctional-detention.html)
28 [19-ncov/community/correction-detention/guidance-correctional-detention.html](https://web.archive.org/web/20201210030827/https://www.cdc.gov/coronavirus/2019-ncov/community/correction-detention/guidance-correctional-detention.html).

1 chronic care needs. In February 2016, GEO stopped providing medical care at
2 Adelanto and contracted with Correct Care Solutions, the corporate predecessor of
3 Wellpath to provide medical care at the facility.²⁴

4 38. Wellpath, and its corporate predecessor Correct Care Solutions, has been
5 sued for more than 70 deaths over the past five years and has a pattern of providing
6 substandard care that has led to avoidable deaths.²⁵

7 39. In 2015, the DHS Office for Civil Rights and Civil Liberties (“CRCL”) found the medical treatment at Adelanto to be substandard and found that clinical
8 leadership was not competent. Two years later, after Wellpath took over medical
9 care at the facility, CRCL’s independent subject-matter experts found that no
10 corrections were made to address this history.²⁶

11 40. In 2018, CRCL recommended that Adelanto ICE hire a competent,
12 qualified, and effective onsite clinical leader immediately, and that until new
13 leadership took effect, at-risk detainees should immediately be removed from the
14 facility.

15
16 ²⁴ Leslie Berestein Rojas, *Have Changes at Adelanto Immigrant Detention Center*
17 *Led to Better Health Care?*, LAist 89.3 (Oct. 12, 2016),
18 <https://www.kpcc.org/2016-10-12/have-changes-at-adelanto-immigrant-detention-cente>.

19 ²⁵ See Blake Ellis and Melanie Hicken, *CNN Investigates: Help Me Before it’s Too*
20 *Late*, CNN (Jun. 25, 2019), <https://www.cnn.com/interactive/2019/06/us/jail-health-care-ccs-invs/>; Hassan Kanu, *DOJ Report Exposes Failures of Jail Reform Measures*, Reuters (Sept. 9, 2021), <https://www.reuters.com/legal/government/doj-report-exposes-failures-jail-reform-measures-2021-09-09/>; Michael Fenne, *Private Equity Firms Rebrand Prison Healthcare Companies, But Care Issues Continue*, Private Equity Stakeholder Project (Nov. 2022), https://pestakeholder.org/wp-content/uploads/2022/11/Wellpath_HIG_2022v2.pdf.

25 ²⁶ Nick Schwellenbach, *DHS Office for Civil Rights and Civil Liberties Review of*
26 *Adelanto—Sent to ICE in April 2018*, Project on Government Oversight (Sept. 6,
27 2019), <https://www.pogo.org/document/2019/09/dhs-office-for-civil-rights-and-civil-liberties-review-of-adelanto-sent-to-ice-in-april-2018>.
28

1 facility and transferred to other facilities with well-functioning medical programs.²⁷

2 41.CRCL also found that psychiatric leadership was absent at Adelanto and
3 that sub-standard mental health care was occurring as a result.²⁸

4 42.In 2019, Adelanto ICE leadership continued to reject CRCL's findings
5 that the lack of adequate health care leadership put detainees at risk and did not
6 believe that fundamental or systematic change was necessary.²⁹

7 43.On June 25, 2019, the City of Adelanto terminated the IGSA with ICE.
8 On the same day, ICE awarded a contract to run Adelanto directly to GEO.³⁰

9 44.A subsequent July 2021 CRCL investigation of the conditions at the
10 Adelanto facility, also found myriad deficiencies in conditions at the facility
11 including a lack of chronic illness interventions, particularly for individuals with
12 mental health issues finding that there is little to no access to an inpatient level of
13 care for seriously mentally ill detainees. The investigation also involved direct
14 interviews with detainees and staff, who reported allegations of staff not wearing
15 masks, and that both staff and detainees did not wear face masks consistent with
16 CDC guidelines or manufacturer specifications.

17 //

18 //

19 ²⁷ *Id.*

20 ²⁸ *Id.*

21
22 ²⁹ Majority Staff Report, U.S. House of Representatives Committee on Homeland
23 Security, *ICE Detention Facilities Failing to Meet Basic Standards of Care* at 11
(Sept. 21, 2020),

24 [https://web.archive.org/web/20200926041027/https://homeland.house.gov/imo/med](https://web.archive.org/web/20200926041027/https://homeland.house.gov/imo/media/doc/Homeland%20ICE%20facility%20staff%20report.pdf)
25 [ia/doc/Homeland%20ICE%20facility%20staff%20report.pdf](https://web.archive.org/web/20200926041027/https://homeland.house.gov/imo/media/doc/Homeland%20ICE%20facility%20staff%20report.pdf).

26 ³⁰ Rebecca Plevin, *How a Private Prison Giant Has Continued to Thrive in a State*
27 *That Wants it Out*, Desert Sun (Jan. 24, 2020), [https://www.desertsun.com/in-](https://www.desertsun.com/in-depth/news/2020/01/24/private-prison-giant-geo-thrives-california-state-wants-out/2589589001/)
28 [depth/news/2020/01/24/private-prison-giant-geo-thrives-california-state-wants-](https://www.desertsun.com/in-depth/news/2020/01/24/private-prison-giant-geo-thrives-california-state-wants-out/2589589001/)
[out/2589589001/](https://www.desertsun.com/in-depth/news/2020/01/24/private-prison-giant-geo-thrives-california-state-wants-out/2589589001/).

The Adelanto Facility’s Inadequate Response to the COVID-19 Pandemic

45. The Adelanto facility’s response to COVID-19 has been woefully inadequate. In *Roman v. Wolf*, the Court’s rulings, bolstered by the factual findings of the Court appointed Special Master in that case, make clear that Adelanto was not safe for individuals vulnerable to COVID-19. The Court found, and the Ninth Circuit later affirmed, that the conditions at the Adelanto facility violated detainees’ due process right to reasonable safety under the Fifth Amendment to the U.S. Constitution. Specifically, “the Government had failed to impose social distancing because there were ‘too many detainees at Adelanto for its size’; newly arrived detainees were either mixed with the general population or housed with other new detainees who had arrived at different times, both of which undermined the ostensible 14-day quarantine period for new arrivals; staff were not required to wear gloves and masks; there was a lack of necessary cleaning supplies, resulting in cleaning of communal spaces that was ‘haphazard, at best’; there were only three functioning showers for 118 women; there was inadequate access to hand sanitizer because dispensers were often empty and detainees had to wait for days to receive hand soap; and detainees were forced to sleep within six feet of each other due to the positions of their beds.” *Hernandez Roman v. Wolf*, 829 F. App’x 165, 171 (9th Cir. 2020).

46. *Roman v. Wolf* was filed on April 13, 2020, shortly after the onset of the COVID-19 pandemic, alleging that the Adelanto detention center was failing to abide by their obligations to protect immigration detainees housed there from contracting COVID-19. In addition to suing ICE officials responsible for the Adelanto facility, plaintiffs in that case also named the GEO Facility Administrator James Janecka as a Respondent, because *Roman* was a habeas case seeking detainees’ release from detention which requires that the “jailer” or custodian of the facility where detainees are being held be named as a defendant. However,

1 Defendant GEO itself was not a party to the *Roman* action.

2 47. On April 23, 2020, this Court in *Roman* issued a preliminary injunction
3 compelling ICE officials, *inter alia*, to reduce the population at the facility in
4 response to the COVID-19 pandemic. *Roman v. Wolf*, No.
5 EDCV2000768TJHPVCX, 2020 WL 1952656 (C.D. Cal. Apr. 23, 2020), *aff'd in*
6 *part, vacated in part sub nom. Hernandez Roman v. Wolf*, 829 F. App'x 165 (9th
7 Cir. 2020), *and supplemented*, No. EDCV2000768TJHPVCX, 2020 WL 5797918
8 (C.D. Cal. Sept. 29, 2020).³¹

9 48. The medical experts of both the United States and the petitioners in the
10 *Roman* litigation agreed that the outbreak was likely caused by an infected staff
11 member who reported to work at Adelanto.

12 49. On September 29, 2020, this Court in *Roman* again found that the
13 conditions at Adelanto were objectively unreasonable and contravened the
14 reasonable safety of the individuals in detention with respect to their exposure to
15 COVID-19 in violation of the detained individuals' Fifth Amendment due process
16 rights. *See* September 29, 2020, *Roman* Order, at 6.

17 50. The Court also noted that contact tracing was not completed following
18 the COVID-19 outbreak at Adelanto. *Id.* at 2. The Court ordered weekly testing for
19 COVID-19 for all detainees and implement other measures to protect detainees
20 from COVID-19. *Id.* at 6.

21 51. On October 6, 2020, ICE reported to the *Roman* Court that almost 20%
22 of the detainees at the facility had tested positive for COVID-19.³²

23 ³¹ Defendant the GEO Group twice filed a motion to intervene in the *Roman* case,
24 on June 3, 2021 and January 4, 2024. The Court denied both motions

25 ³² Rebecca Plevin, 'I'm Scared for My Life': Nearly 20% of Detainees at Adelanto
26 ICE Facility Have COVID-19, Desert Sun (Oct. 8, 2020),
27 <https://www.desertsun.com/story/news/2020/10/07/nearly-20-detainees-adelanto-ice-facility-have-covid-19/5918914002/>.
28

1 52. On October 10, 2020, the *Roman* Court appointed a Special Master to
2 monitor and enforce compliance with the court's preliminary injunction.

3 53. On October 15, 2020, the Court in *Roman* issued a population reduction
4 order. *See Roman v. Wolf*, No. ED CV 20-00768 TJH, 2020 WL 6107069 (C.D.
5 Cal. Oct. 15, 2020), *order clarified*, No. ED CV 20-00768 TJH, 2021 WL 4621946
6 (C.D. Cal. Mar. 10, 2021) ("October 15, 2020, *Roman* Order"). The Court
7 expressed concerns that the facility was not sufficiently isolating or quarantining
8 detainees who are symptomatic of COVID-19, suspected of having COVID-19, or
9 have been confirmed positive for COVID-19. *Id.* at 5.

10 54. Additionally, the DHS's Office of Inspector General conducted an
11 investigation into COVID-19 related detention conditions in ICE facilities in
12 September and October of 2020 and it could not confirm whether Adelanto was
13 adequately screening staff.

14 55. On November 18, 2020, the Special Master issued a First Report and
15 Recommendation, noting at the time that there were no positive COVID-19 cases
16 among detainees and seven positive cases among staff at Adelanto; summarizing
17 the government's compliance with the preliminary injunction; and noting that the
18 parties have agreed that 20% of detainees who have not had a positive test will be
19 randomly tested each week and that Adelanto will test and isolate detainees who
20 are suspected of having COVID-19. Additionally, the Special Master
21 recommended that if a staff member tests positive, Adelanto should test all
22 detainees in every housing unit that the staff member entered during a seven-day
23 look-back period before and seven days after testing positive. Finally, the Special
24 Master recommended that if there is a rise in infection among detainees, the facility
25 must immediately begin weekly saturation testing for all detainees in the affected
26 side of the facility.

27 56. On December 3, 2020, after a concerning outbreak and a spike of positive
28 cases among detainees at the facility, the Court in *Roman* ordered the respondents

1 to file a status report explaining why they had failed to initiate saturation testing of
2 all detainees and staff at Adelanto.

3 57. On December 16, 2020, the Special Master issued a report on a new
4 outbreak at the facility. The Special Master noted that since mid-November, the
5 number of positive COVID-19 cases among staff doubled from 7 to 17 in a matter
6 of days, then tripled to 24 within two weeks. The Special Master again concluded
7 that the facility staff were responsible for the introduction of the COVID-19 into
8 the facility.

9 58. By December 14, the number of positive cases rose to 47 among staff.
10 The Special Master revised the testing protocol to include increasing random
11 testing from 10% to 20% weekly and extending the look back period for contact
12 tracing from seven days to ten days.

13 59. As discussed, a CRCL investigation from July 2021 found that some
14 Adelanto staff were still failing to wear masks consistent with the CDC COVID-19
15 guidelines and the PRRs, that GEO did not consistently take corrective measures
16 when staff and detainees were improperly masked, and that the sanitary practices at
17 the facility did not comply with the PBNDS, PRR, or COVID-19 guidance. During
18 times relevant to this case, no staff members were subjected to any disciplinary
19 consequences for failing to wear proper PPE, including masks, creating an
20 environment in which COVID-19 transmission was particularly dangerous.

21 **Martin Vargas Arellano's Detention in Adelanto**

22 60. Mr. Vargas Arellano was born in Mexico but had lived in the United
23 States since he was two years old.

24 61. ICE first detained Mr. Vargas Arellano on May 15, 2013, and placed him
25 in removal proceedings.

26 62. He suffered from severe mental illness, which the government had
27 recognized in 2013 when an immigration judge found him incompetent to represent
28 himself in removal proceedings. As a result, he was designated a member of the

1 *Franco-Gonzalez v. Holder*, Case No. 10-2211 (C.D. Cal.) class and was appointed
2 a Qualified Representative.

3 63. On December 23, 2014, ICE released Mr. Vargas Arellano after
4 determining that he was neither a danger to others nor a flight risk. His Petition for
5 Review was pending before the Ninth Circuit at the time.

6 64. Mr. Vargas Arellano lived in the community for several years without
7 new criminal convictions.

8 65. On March 28, 2019, ICE redetained Mr. Vargas Arellano and placed him
9 at the Adelanto facility following an arrest related to an alleged 2018 failure to
10 register as a sex offender. His registration obligation stemmed from a 1985 juvenile
11 conviction, and he had no other criminal convictions between 2014 and 2018.
12 Throughout his time in detention, Mr. Vargas Arellano, through his Qualified
13 Representative, continued to challenge his removal and pursued immigration relief.

14 66. At the time of his 2019 detention, Defendants were fully aware of Mr.
15 Vargas Arellano's longstanding mental health issues, prior determination of
16 incompetency, and chronic medical conditions which included schizophrenia,
17 diabetes, hepatitis C, hyperthyroidism, anemia, hypertension, deep vein thrombosis
18 of the leg, and cellulitis.

19 **Contracting COVID-19**

20 67. Despite the September and November 2020 outbreaks at Adelanto and
21 Mr. Vargas Arellano's extreme vulnerability to COVID-19, Defendants did not
22 adequately protect Mr. Vargas Arellano from the virus in line with mandatory
23 procedures to do so. GEO allowed Mr. Vargas to be in close proximity to and
24 interacting with other unmasked detainees during the height of the outbreak.
25 Defendants were not in compliance with multiple mandatory policies including but
26 not limited to those referenced in paragraph 32 and 34 above.

1 68. During the height of the outbreak, Adelanto staff tested Mr. Vargas
2 Arellano six times between October 6, 2020, and December 04, 2020. His results
3 were negative for COVID-19.

4 69. Adelanto staff did *not* select Mr. Vargas Arellano for COVID-19 testing
5 in the November 4, November 9, November 16, November 23, and November 30
6 rounds of weekly tests, despite his status as a medically vulnerable detainee at a
7 time when they knew COVID-19 was surging at the detention center.

8 70. On November 28, 2020, Mr. Vargas Arellano was admitted to the
9 infirmary due to high blood pressure. He was also suffering from worsening
10 delusions. He was being treated for high blood pressure, diabetes, schizophrenia,
11 and wound care, but he was not being treated for his hepatitis C.

12 71. On November 29, 2020, according to the *Roman* Special Master's Report,
13 a Wellpath medical provider who later tested positive for COVID-19 on December
14 7, 2020, came into contact with Mr. Vargas Arellano. In response to the *Roman*
15 Special Master inquiry, ICE attested in the *Roman* litigation that this was Mr.
16 Vargas Arellano's only known contact with a COVID-19 positive individual. ICE
17 did not provide any information about how it arrived at this conclusion, the nature
18 of any contact tracing it did, whether it reviewed video surveillance recordings to
19 assure the veracity of this claim, whether the Wellpath staff passed the required
20 screening upon entry to the facility, what PPE the Wellpath medical staff was
21 utilizing, or whether the Wellpath staff's contact with Mr. Vargas Arellano was
22 otherwise in compliance with the applicable standards. Their response was based
23 solely on information provided to them by GEO, including James Janecka, who
24 together with his superiors Joseph Moorhead and Paul Laird, had failed to ensure
25 detainees including Mr. Vargas Arellano were subject to required contact tracing,
26 or that staff contact tracing was conducted in accordance with CDC and *Roman*
27 court-imposed mandates. Nonetheless, likely based on ICE's attestation, which was
28 not based on its personal knowledge, the Special Master "assumed that [Mr. Vargas

1 Arellano] contracted COVID from the Wellpath medical provider who examined
2 him on November 29, 2020.³³

3 72. The information provided to the *Roman* court, that the Wellpath employee
4 was the only known contact with a COVID-19 positive individual, was false.

5 73. During Mr. Vargas Arellano's November 28-December 1, 2020
6 infirmary stay, he was subject to round-the-clock observation by GEO and
7 Wellpath staff, some of whom had been exposed to and later tested positive for
8 COVID-19. Additionally, a number of patients at the infirmary contracted COVID-
9 19 while housed there at the same time. Finally, around the same time, at least one
10 detainee had tested positive in the general housing unit Mr. Vargas was housed in.

11 74. On December 1, 2020, Adelanto staff discharged Mr. Vargas Arellano
12 from the infirmary and moved him to his cell in unit W5, block B.

13 75. On December 4, 2020, Adelanto staff tested Mr. Vargas Arellano for
14 COVID-19 as part of the court-mandated weekly tests. He tested negative. At least
15 one other detainee in unit W5, block B tested positive for COVID-19 during that
16 testing cycle. Medical records state that on December 4, 2020, Mr. Vargas Arellano
17 was "observed sitting in his wheelchair at the table with another detainee." GEO
18 staff, including James Janecka, failed to ensure any contact tracing of the COVID-
19 19 positive detainee, let alone follow other CDC and PRR standards to quarantine
20 Mr. Vargas Arellano and take other precautions required for medically vulnerable
21 detainees like him.

22 76. Between December 1, 2020 and December 5, 2020, when Mr. Vargas
23 Arellano was housed in the W5B housing unit of the Adelanto facility, he did not
24 receive the twice daily temperature and COVID-19 symptoms screenings, as
25 required by the PRRs and CDC guidance. In fact, at no point were these screenings
26 conducted on Mr. Vargas Arellano when he was in the housing unit. GEO Facility
27

28 ³³ Special Master Report at 3.

1 Administrator James Janecka was responsible for ensuring these checks were being
2 done, and failed to do so. His superiors Joseph Moorhead and Paul Laird also failed
3 their obligations to ensure quality control over these obligations as they never put in
4 place a COVID-19 mitigation plan as they were required to do.

5 77. On December 5, 2020, Mr. Vargas Arellano was transferred to the
6 infirmary for delusions and high blood pressure. His medical records note that his
7 uncontrolled high blood pressure put him at risk of a stroke or internal bleeding, but
8 no COVID-19 screening was conducted. On December 6, Mr. Vargas Arellano was
9 placed in the mental health infirmary in a room that was not a negative pressure
10 room, even though the infirmary had several negative pressure rooms.³⁴

11 78. On December 8, 2020, the test results for another detained person who
12 had been in the infirmary for around two weeks came back positive for COVID-19,
13 indicating he had contracted COVID-19 *in the infirmary* and had been positive
14 during the time of Mr. Vargas Arellano's December 5-10, 2020 infirmary stay.

15 79. Mr. Vargas Arellano remained in the non-negative pressure room in the
16 health infirmary until December 10, 2020, the day he tested positive for COVID-
17 19.

18 80. Between November 28 and December 10, 2020, GEO allowed staff
19 members to enter the facility even though they had been exposed to COVID-19 and
20 at least two of those were in the infirmary and were assigned to observe Mr. Vargas
21 Arellano, including entering his room to provide his meals, and transport him to
22 other rooms in the infirmary for medical needs. This practice of allowing exposed

23 ³⁴ A negative pressure room are designed to contain the spread of infectious
24 diseases, like COVID-19, by preventing contaminated air from escaping into the
25 surrounding environment by maintaining lower air pressure inside the room. *See*
26 Cal. Dep't of Pub. Health, *Best Practices for Ventilation of Isolation Areas to*
27 [https://www.cdph.ca.gov/Programs/CID/DCDC/Pages/COVID-19/Best-Practices-](https://www.cdph.ca.gov/Programs/CID/DCDC/Pages/COVID-19/Best-Practices-for-Ventilation-of-Isolation-Areas-to-Reduce-COVID-19-Transmission-Risk.aspx)
28 [for-Ventilation-of-Isolation-Areas-to-Reduce-COVID-19-Transmission-Risk.aspx](https://www.cdph.ca.gov/Programs/CID/DCDC/Pages/COVID-19/Best-Practices-for-Ventilation-of-Isolation-Areas-to-Reduce-COVID-19-Transmission-Risk.aspx)

1 staff to enter the facility was sanctioned by GEO corporate, including Joseph
2 Moorhead, Paul Laird and Spencer Winepol.

3 81. Several of these GEO staff members tested positive for COVID-19 after
4 being in the infirmary with Mr. Vargas Arellano between November 28 and
5 December 10, 2020, but were not reported to the *Roman* court when it inquired into
6 Mr. Vargas Arellano's death.

7 82. On December 10, 2020, Mr. Vargas Arellano developed a fever of
8 101.9°F and reported ear pain. He tested positive for COVID-19. Prior to this date,
9 Mr. Vargas Arellano had not left the Adelanto facility since September 19, 2020 for
10 a hospital visit, indicating that he could not have contracted COVID-19 from
11 anyone other than those he came into contact with at the facility.

12 83. On December 11, 2020, Mr. Vargas Arellano suffered from shortness of
13 breath, fever, dry cough, and eventually COVID-19 pneumonia, for which he had
14 to be hospitalized. He was seen briefly at one hospital before being returned to the
15 facility, despite worsening symptoms.

16 84. On December 12, 2020, the test results for another detained person who
17 had been in the infirmary for a month came back positive for COVID-19, indicating
18 he had contracted COVID-19 in the infirmary and had been positive during the time
19 of Mr. Vargas Arellano's December 5-10, 2020 infirmary stay.

20 85. On December 12, 2020, Mr. Vargas Arellano was transferred back to the
21 Adelanto detention center but was transferred later that day to Providence St. Mary
22 Medical Center due to COVID-19 pneumonia where he was hospitalized for several
23 weeks.

24 86. GEO's screening of staff into the facility was woefully inadequate, and
25 the decision to allow COVID-19 exposed staff to enter the facility was in violation
26 of the CDC and PRR guidelines, and as discussed was sanctioned by GEO
27 corporate officers including Joseph Moorhead, Paul Laird and Spencer Winepol.
28 GEO did not conduct comprehensive screening of all staff into the facility,

1 including between November 28 and December 12, 2020, as it was required to do
2 so, including staff who had repeated contact with Mr. Vargas Arellano and who
3 tested positive for COVID-19 prior to his testing positive.

4 87.GEO engaged in many grossly and recklessly negligent acts, which
5 resulted in Mr. Vargas Arellano contracting COVID-19 and becoming terminally
6 ill, including but not limited to: GEO's failure to conduct required twice-daily
7 symptoms screenings for Mr. Vargas Arellano who was at high risk of becoming
8 severely ill upon COVID-19 exposure; GEO's failure to screen staff exposed to
9 COVID-19; GEO's failure to staff to quarantine asymptomatic exposed staff at
10 home "to the maximum extent possible"; GEO's failure to monitor mask and other
11 PPE compliance among staff or mete out any disciplinary consequences for their
12 failing to comply with PPE requirements; GEO's failure to conduct contact tracing
13 of COVID-19 positive detainees including those who had come in contact with Mr.
14 Vargas Arellano to ensure potential early detection and mitigation of his exposure;
15 GEO's failure to conduct contract tracing of Mr. Vargas Arellano upon his
16 contracting COVID-19 to understand the source of his exposure and to contain
17 further spread among infirmity detainees and staff; and GEO's failure to have a
18 COVID-19 mitigation plan to ensure it was complying with the above-referenced
19 and other mandates, which likely would have prevented Mr. Vargas Arellano from
20 contracting COVID-19 and his untimely death.

21 **Long COVID-19 and Health Deterioration**

22 88.On December 25, 2020, Mr. Vargas Arellano was discharged from
23 Providence St. Mary Medical Center and placed back in the Adelanto infirmity. He
24 continued to experience significant shortness of breath.

25 89.On December 30, 2020, he informed a nurse that he was feeling sick, was
26 concerned about COVID, and whether he "was going to make it," and she
27 responded that recovery from COVID-19 takes time.
28

1 90. On December 31, 2020, he was deemed “recovered” from COVID-19
2 despite ongoing COVID-19 symptoms.

3 91. On January 2, 2021, he was deemed “clinically stable” and cleared to
4 return to the general detainee population, despite a high heart rate and significant
5 weight loss. No nutritional assessment or physical therapy was conducted. Mr.
6 Vargas Arellano remained in the infirmary.

7 92. Between January 3 and 5, 2021, his pulse remained abnormally high, and
8 his oxygen levels dropped as low as 86%.

9 93. On January 4, 2021, Mr. Vargas Arellano complained of shortness of
10 breath he was given supplemental oxygen, and a provider ordered an x-ray and a
11 blood test to check for blood clots.

12 94. On January 6, 2021, medical staff attempted to send him for a CT scan to
13 check for a lung clot, but he refused. Given his mental health issues, Defendants
14 should have sought further intervention to attempt to administer the test under
15 applicable health guidelines, but failed to do so. Instead, his blood thinner
16 medication, which had been stopped in December, was restarted after this refusal.

17 95. On January 8, 2021, he required continuous oxygen support, but no
18 follow-up was done on his blood clot test results.

19 96. On January 14, 2021, a critical lab result indicating a high risk of blood
20 clots was finally reviewed; eleven days after the test was ordered.

21 97. On January 18, 2021, his blood pressure was dangerously low, and his
22 blood sugar was unstable, leading to a temporary hold on his medications.

23 98. On January 20, 2021, medical records note significant anemia, but no
24 action was taken.

25 99. On January 26, 2021, he was again hospitalized for COVID-19
26 pneumonia at Providence St. Mary Medical Center. He was tested for a possible
27 heart attack and blood clots but was returned to Adelanto on February 4 without a
28 clear diagnosis.

1 100. Between February 4 and 17, 2021, he continued to report severe chest
2 pain, and his oxygen levels remained unstable. His weight was not reassessed, and
3 no nutritional or physical therapy support was provided. In that same period, his
4 medical record makes at least six references to “post COVID-19 syndrome” or
5 “sequela of COVID-19 infection.” Both phrases are synonymous with what is now
6 known to be long COVID.

7 101. On or about February 17, 2021, Mr. Vargas Arellano woke up in a
8 puddle of blood after a fall that resulted in a large bruise. He was not immediately
9 discovered by Wellpath or GEO staff, who were tasked with regularly monitoring
10 him.

11 102. On or about February 18, 2021, after experiencing further shortness of
12 breath, Mr. Vargas Arellano was hospitalized for the third and final time for
13 COVID-19. He was transferred to the hospital for shortness of breath and was
14 diagnosed with fluid around his heart. A stroke was suspected.

15 **Martin Vargas Arellano’s Death**

16 103. On February 19, 2021, a Wellpath Medical Director emailed ICE’s
17 medical coordinator explaining that Mr. Vargas Arellano’s medical condition has
18 become grave, and that he was “‘at great risk of pulmonary embolism and [that
19 there was a] possibility of sudden death’ due to multiple ailments, including
20 ongoing weakness and chest pain in the wake of COVID-19 infection.”³⁵ The
21 Wellpath Medical Director urged ICE to evaluate whether Mr. Vargas Arellano
22 should be released from ICE detention. *Id.*

23 104. After ICE learned that Mr. Vargas Arellano was at risk of sudden
24 death, it initiated a plan to release him. On February 22, 2021, Mr. Vargas
25 Arellano’s Deportation Officer, Sergio Guzman, reached out to Mr. Vargas’
26 Qualified Representative, Margaret Hellerstein, informing her that ICE was
27

28 ³⁵ Special Master Report, at 5

1 considering releasing Mr. Vargas Arellano and asking for her to provide
2 information about his housing and transportation. Ms. Hellerstein shared that
3 information by email and asked that Mr. Guzman let her know as soon as a decision
4 was made about Mr. Vargas Arellano's release. Mr. Guzman did not inform Ms.
5 Hellerstein of Mr. Vargas Arellano's grave condition nor that it was the reason for
6 ICE's consideration of release.

7 105. On or about February 26, 2021, Ms. Hellerstein reached out to Mr.
8 Guzman for an update. He informed her that no decision had been made yet about
9 his release. He agreed to update her once the agency made a decision about his
10 release. Ms. Hellerstein began making arrangements with the halfway house where
11 Mr. Vargas Arellano was going to stay.

12 106. On or about February 26, 2021, Mr. Vargas Arellano suffered a stroke
13 that caused brain death.

14 107. On March 5, 2021, ICE "released" Mr. Vargas Arellano purportedly
15 on his own recognizance while in the hospital, even though he was comatose and
16 brain dead. The release order listed the release address that Ms. Hellerstein had
17 shared with Mr. Guzman.

18 108. On March 8, 2021, Mr. Vargas Arellano passed away due to
19 complications brought by COVID-19. ICE did not inform Ms. Hellerstein nor
20 Plaintiff of Mr. Vargas Arellano's death. ICE also did not report Mr. Vargas
21 Arellano's death to this Court in *Roman*, where he had been a class member. ICE
22 merely reported to the Court that Mr. Vargas Arellano was released on March 8,
23 2021.

24 109. On March 15, 2021, after class counsel in *Roman* informed Ms.
25 Hellerstein that Mr. Vargas Arellano had been released, she contacted Officer
26 Guzman, by phone, inquiring about his whereabouts. He informed her that he was
27 unaware of Mr. Vargas Arellano's location. He did not inform her of Mr. Vargas
28 Arellano's death. The next day she emailed Mr. Guzman to follow up. Mr. Guzman

1 was instructed by his supervisors to ignore Ms. Hellerstein's email and not speak
2 with her any further about Mr. Vargas Arellano's case.

3 110. Over the next few days, Ms. Hellerstein reached out to hospitals,
4 shelters, police stations, and the Mexican Consulate seeking information about Mr.
5 Vargas Arellano. On March 18, 2021, she learned of her client's death after
6 contacting the coroner's office. Plaintiff learned of his father's death shortly
7 thereafter.

8 111. Because Mr. Vargas Arellano was "released" from ICE custody prior
9 to his death on March 8, 2021, ICE did not report his death as a custodial death to
10 Congress.³⁶

11 112. No autopsy was performed. His death certificate lists brain death,
12 stroke, and pneumonia as causes, with contributing factors including respiratory
13 failure and hepatitis C. COVID-19 infection is known to exacerbate these
14 conditions.

15 113. On April 14, 2021, a month after Mr. Vargas Arellano's death, he won
16 his immigration case before the Board of Immigration Appeals, which remanded
17 the case to the immigration court to reconsider his eligibility for withholding of
18 removal and protection under the Convention Against Torture, citing clear error by
19 the immigration judge. On April 22, 2021, Mr. Vargas Arellano's removal case was
20 terminated due to his death.

21 114. Several months after his death, in response to a complaint filed by Mr.
22 Vargas Arellano's immigration attorney, to DHS's Office of Civil Rights and Civil
23 Liberties ("CRCL") conducted an investigation into his deathbed release from
24 custody, highlighting ICE's failure to prepare a "DDR" or Detainee Death Report.
25 CRCL stated, "From a Quality Assurance/Quality Improvement perspective, not

26
27 ³⁶ See ICE Detainee Death Reporting (last updated Dec. 5, 2022),
28 <https://www.ice.gov/detain/detainee-death-reporting>.

1 doing a DDR for patients who die shortly after release from custody who are
2 hospitalized and subsequently die is a missed opportunity to improve the care
3 provided and reduce liability.”

4 **GEO Consciously Disregarded Mr. Vargas’ Safety and Engaged in**
5 **Egregious and Reckless Conduct**

6 115. GEO acted with conscious disregard for detainee safety and engaged
7 in egregious and reckless misconduct that directly placed Mr. Vargas Arellano at
8 risk of contracting COVID-19 and death. GEO’s officers and managing agents –
9 including James Janecka, Adelanto’s Facility Administrator; Joshua Johnson,
10 Assistant Facility Administrator; Joe Moorhead, Western Region Director; Paul
11 Laird, Western Region Vice President; and Spencer Winepol, corporate counsel –
12 knowingly violated the PRR and PBNB standards intended to protect medically
13 vulnerable individuals like Mr. Vargas Arellano from contracting COVID-19 and
14 suffering its terminal consequences; provided false information to ICE about the
15 source of Mr. Vargas Arellano’s COVID-19 infection, about contact tracing to
16 determine the source of the exposure, and about Wellpath and GEO’s use of PPE
17 when they interacted with Mr. Vargas Arellano during the period he was infected;
18 failed to comply with known obligations to preserve surveillance video footage to
19 conceal violations; and unlawfully permitted COVID-19 exposed staff to work at
20 the facility. James Janecka and Joshua Johnson directly managed day-to-day
21 operations at Adelanto, while Joe Moorhead and Paul Laird supervised Janecka and
22 were responsible for setting GEO’s COVID-19 policies and compliance standards.

23 116. Additionally, GEO staff destroyed surveillance footage that would
24 have shown whether staff were complying with PPE mandates, social distancing,
25 and COVID-19 protocols, in violation of its legal obligations under its own
26 litigation hold and the National Archives Records Administration (NARA)
27 retention policy.

28 **CAUSES OF ACTION**

COUNT ONE

(Violation of Detention Standards)

Defendants Wellpath and GEO

117. Plaintiff incorporates the allegations in the paragraphs above as though fully set forth here.

118. Plaintiff brings this cause of action on his father's behalf as successor in interest to Mr. Vargas Arellano under California Code of Civil Procedure § 377.30 (Survival Action) as an individual who has been injured by the tortious actions of a private detention facility operator under Cal. Gov. Code § 7320.

119. Plaintiff is Mr. Vargas Arellano's biological son and successor in interest.

120. GEO is a private detention facility operator.

121. Wellpath is an agent of a private detention facility.

122. GEO and Wellpath are required to exercise a duty of ordinary care and skill in their compliance and adherence to the detention standards of care and confinement agreed upon in the Adelanto Detention Facility contract for operations.

123. ICE's PBNDS are the applicable standards of care as set forth in the Adelanto Detention Facility contract for operations. The PBNDS incorporates CDC guidelines on COVID-19 and ICE's PRRs.

124. GEO engaged in tortious actions in violation of the PBNDS, as described throughout this complaint, including but not limited to the violations described in paragraphs 13, 32, 34 and 87 above.

125. Wellpath engaged in tortious actions in violation of the PBNDS, as described throughout this complaint, including but not limited to the violations described in paragraphs 14, 32, and 34 above.

126. GEO acted with conscious disregard for Mr. Vargas Arellano's safety, and its conduct was particularly egregious, as described throughout this complaint, including but not limited to paragraphs 115–116. Further, GEO acted with malice,

1 willfulness and/or reckless indifference to the rights of Mr. Vargas Arellano,
2 entitling him to punitive damages against GEO under Cal. Civ. Code § 3294.
3 GEO's officers, directors, or managing agents—including James Janecka, Joshua
4 Johnson, Joe Moorhead, Paul Laird, and Spencer Winepol—were personally
5 involved in, directed, authorized, or ratified this misconduct.

6 127. GEO's and Wellpath's violations of the PBNDS caused Mr. Vargas
7 Arellano's pain, suffering, and eventual death.

8 **COUNT TWO**

9 **(Negligence)**

10 ***Defendants Wellpath and GEO***

11 128. Plaintiff incorporates the allegations in the paragraphs above as though
12 fully set forth here.

13 129. Plaintiff brings this cause of action on his father's behalf as successor
14 in interest to Mr. Vargas Arellano under California Code of Civil Procedure §
15 377.30 (Survival Action).

16 130. Plaintiff is Mr. Vargas Arellano's biological son and successor in
17 interest.

18 131. California Civil Code § 1714 provides a statutory cause of action for
19 negligence. To establish a claim for negligence, a plaintiff must show (1) that the
20 defendant owed the plaintiff a legal duty; (2) that the defendant breached that duty;
21 and (3) that the breach was a proximate or legal cause of the plaintiff's injuries.

22 132. California law recognizes a "special relationship" between jailer and
23 prisoner that gives rise to a duty of care that requires jailers to protect prisoners
24 against "unreasonable risk of physical harm." *Giraldo v. Department of*
25 *Corrections and Rehabilitation*, 168 Cal.App.4th 231, 248 (2008) (quoting
26 *Haworth v. State*, 592 P.2d 820, 824 (1979)).

27 133. The Supreme Court has explained that this California duty of care
28 arising from the special relationship between jailer and prisoner applies to private

1 actors. See *Minneeci v. Pollard*, 565 U.S. 118, 128 (2012) (“California courts have
2 specifically applied [this special duty] to jailers, *including private operators of*
3 *prisons.*”) (emphasis added).

4 134. The Ninth Circuit has recognized that this special duty of care applies
5 to private contractors like GEO in the context of the outbreak of infectious disease.
6 *Edison v. United States*, 822 F.3d 510, 522 n.7 (9th Cir. 2016).

7 135. Additionally, under California, there is a general duty of care that
8 dictates that “everyone is responsible, not only for the result of his or her willful
9 acts, but also for an injury occasioned to another by his or her want of ordinary care
10 or skill in the management of his or her property or person[.]” Cal. Civ. Code §
11 1714(a).

12 136. Defendants GEO thus owed a duty of care to Mr. Vargas Arellano and
13 breached that duty through their actions and omissions, as described throughout this
14 complaint, including but not limited to paragraphs 13 and 87 above.

15 137. Defendants Wellpath thus owed a duty of care to Mr. Vargas Arellano
16 and breached that duty through their actions and omissions, as described throughout
17 this complaint, including but not limited to paragraphs 14 above.

18 138. GEO acted with conscious disregard for Mr. Vargas Arellano’s safety,
19 and its conduct was particularly egregious, as described throughout this complaint,
20 including but not limited to paragraphs 115–116. Further, GEO acted with malice,
21 willfulness and/or reckless indifference to the rights of Mr. Vargas Arellano,
22 entitling him to punitive damages against GEO under Cal. Civ. Code § 3294.
23 GEO’s officers, directors, or managing agents—including James Janecka, Joshua
24 Johnson, Joe Moorhead, Paul Laird, and Spencer Winepol—were personally
25 involved in, directed, authorized, or ratified this misconduct.

26 139. Martin Vargas Arellano was the direct victim of Defendants’
27 negligence. Defendants’ breach of duty was the direct and proximate cause and a
28 substantial factor in bringing about Martin Vargas Arellano’s injuries.

COUNT THREE

(Negligent Infliction of Emotional Distress)

Defendants Wellpath and GEO

140. Plaintiff incorporates the allegations in the paragraphs above as though fully set forth here.

141. Plaintiff brings this cause of action on his father's behalf as successor in interest to Mr. Vargas Arellano under California Code of Civil Procedure § 377.30 (Survival Action).

142. Plaintiff is Mr. Vargas Arellano's biological son and successor in interest.

143. To establish a claim for negligent infliction of emotional distress under California law, a plaintiff must show (1) that the defendant engaged in negligent conduct, (2) that the plaintiff suffered serious emotional distress; and (3) that the defendants' negligent conduct was a cause of the serious emotional distress.

144. Defendants engaged in negligent conduct by breaching their duty of care when they, among other things, detained Mr. Vargas Arellano under conditions in which the facilities and level of care were not adequate to meet his medical needs, and that breach caused his serious emotional distress.

145. GEO acted with conscious disregard for Mr. Vargas Arellano's safety, and its conduct was particularly egregious, as described throughout this complaint, including but not limited to paragraphs 115–116. Further, GEO acted with malice, willfulness and/or reckless indifference to the rights of Mr. Vargas Arellano, entitling him to punitive damages against GEO under Cal. Civ. Code § 3294. GEO's officers, directors, or managing agents—including James Janecka, Joshua Johnson, Joe Moorhead, Paul Laird, and Spencer Winepol—were personally involved in, directed, authorized, or ratified this misconduct.

1 146. Martin Vargas Arellano was the direct victim of Defendants'
2 negligence. Defendant's breach of duty was the direct and proximate cause and a
3 substantial factor in bringing about Martin Vargas Arellano's serious emotional
4 distress.

5 **COUNT FOUR**
6 **(Wrongful Death)**
7 *All Defendants*

8 147. Plaintiff incorporates the allegations in the paragraphs above as though
9 fully set forth here.

10 148. Mr. Vargas Arellano's death was a direct and proximate result of the
11 aforementioned negligence, wrongful acts, conduct, and omissions of Defendants.
12 As a direct and proximate result of the negligence, wrongful acts, conduct, and
13 omissions of the Defendants, and each of them, Plaintiff has been deprived of the
14 decedent's love, companionship, comfort, care, assistance, protection, affection,
15 society, support, and guidance, and present value of services to his family.

16 149. Plaintiff is entitled to recover wrongful death damages pursuant to
17 California Code of Civil Procedure § 377.60. Plaintiff has suffered non-pecuniary
18 losses in an amount to be determined at trial.

19 **PRAYER FOR RELIEF**

20 WHEREFORE, Plaintiff prays that this Court grant the following relief:

- 21 (1) Award compensatory and punitive damages under Cal. Civ.
22 Code § 3294 to Plaintiff in an amount to be proven at trial;
23 (2) Award costs and reasonable attorney fees under Cal. Gov. Code
24 § 7320(c), and any other applicable law;
25 (3) Grant such further relief as the Court deems just and
26 proper.

27
28 Dated: March 19, 2025

Respectfully submitted,

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

Stacy Tolchin
Stacy@Tolchinimmigration.com
Megan Brewer
Megan@Tolchinimmigration.com
Law Offices of Stacy Tolchin
776 E. Green St. Suite 210
Pasadena, CA 91101
Telephone: (213) 622-7450
Facsimile: (213) 622-7233

Khaled Alrabe
khaled@nipnl.org
Matthew S. Vogel†
matt@nipnl.org
National Immigration Project of
the National Lawyers Guild
(NIPNLG)
2201 Wisconsin Ave NW, Suite
200
Washington, DC 20007
Telephone: (202)470-2082
Facsimile: (617) 227-5495

*† not admitted in DC; working remotely
from and admitted in Louisiana only*

Laboni A. Hoq
laboni@hoqlaw.com
Hoq Law APC
P.O. Box 753
Pasadena, CA 91030
Telephone: (213) 973-9004

Counsel for Plaintiff

By: s/ Khaled Alrabe
Khaled Alrabe