## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF MARYLAND

## Southern Division

<b>J.O.</b> ]	P., et al	<i>!.</i> ,					*						
	Plai	ntiffs,					*						
v.							*	Cas	Case No.: GJH-19-1944				
		RTME			!. <b>.</b>		*						
		,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,		_,			*						
	Defe	endants	•				*						
*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	

For the reasons stated in the accompanying Memorandum Opinion, it is **ORDERED** by the United States District Court for the District of Maryland that:

**ORDER** 

- 1. Defendants' Motion to Dismiss, or, in the Alternative, for Summary Judgment, ECF No. 73, is **DENIED**;
- 2. Plaintiffs' Unopposed Motion for Extension of Time to Respond to Defendants' Motion to Dismiss, and to File an Amended Complaint, ECF No. 74, is **GRANTED**;
- 3. Plaintiffs' Motion to Enforce the Preliminary Injunction, ECF No. 75, is **DENIED**;
- 4. Plaintiff E.D.G.'s Motion for Permission to Proceed Under Pseudonym and to Omit His Address from the Caption in the First Amended Complaint, ECF No. 92, is **GRANTED**;
- 5. Plaintiffs' Motion to Seal, ECF No. 93, is **GRANTED**;
- 6. Defendants' Motion to Dismiss the Amended Complaint, ECF No. 101, is **DENIED**; and
- 7. The parties **SHALL MEET AND CONFER** to set a schedule for production of an administrative record and the filing of appropriate motions once the record is produced.

Dated: <u>June 3, 2020</u>	/s/
<del></del>	GEORGE J. HAZEL
	United States District Judge