March 23, 2020

Chad F. Wolf, Acting Secretary of Homeland Security (by electronic mail)

Re: U.S. Government’s Stated Intention to Expel Asylum Seekers is Illegal

Dear Acting Secretary Wolf:

Our organizations write to make absolutely clear that: (i) expulsions of migrants without adequate fear screenings and subsequent due process would be illegal under U.S. and international law; and (ii) government officials following instructions to implement such expulsions would be acting in furtherance of illegal orders. We call on the U.S. government to clarify immediately how actual and potential asylum seekers’ rights are protected under these new protocols, including full transparency on guidance sent to CBP officers and agents and CBP’s operational plan.

At a press conference yesterday you announced that starting at midnight on Saturday March 21, 2020, DHS will be immediately returning all non-citizens who enter or attempt to enter the United States without travel authorization. While there is uncertainty about particulars -- and initially Mexico’s Foreign Minister Ebrard stated that it will not accept non-Mexicans outside the “Remain in Mexico” policy -- you indicated rapid removals will take place. Acting CBP Commissioner Morgan stated on FOX News that the announcement is a “game changer,” agreeing that “all asylum applications [are] suspended” between ports of entry (which contradicts his own agency’s statement that migrants “are being assessed on a case by case basis”).

CBP says that at ports of entry “CBP will suspend processing of inadmissible individuals,” a category including asylum seekers, and that “[i]n between POEs, Border Patrol agents will be given tools necessary to identify [non-citizens] at the border and to adjudicate some cases in the field at initial encounter. This will enable our agents to rapidly make a decision whether to take someone into custody, or to send them without any further Title 8 processing to the nearest Port of Entry to expel them to Mexico or Canada.” (Emphasis added.) For persons not amenable to expulsion north or south, according to the Centers for Disease Control order on which DHS’s action is based “DHS will, where necessary use repatriation flights to move covered [non-citizens] on a space-available basis, as authorized by law [sic].” The CDC order does not address why the Department of Justice continues dangerous and unnecessary prosecutions of some migrants for entry/reentry offenses.

No explicit provision is made for inquiring into fear of return to Mexico, Canada, or an individual’s country of origin. Border Patrol agents are generally not trained to conduct fear screenings: life-or-death determinations that are impossible to conduct fairly “in the field at initial encounter.” This appears to mean that no credible-fear interviews will be conducted and
that persons fleeing persecution, who are otherwise eligible for consideration of their asylum claims, will be summarily removed from the United States without any process whatsoever.10

The United Nations High Commissioner for Refugees emphasized in a legal analysis released on March 16 that “while States may put in place measures which may include a health screening or testing of persons seeking international protection upon entry and/or putting them in quarantine, such measures may not result in denying them an effective opportunity to seek asylum or result in refoulement. . . . Denial of access to territory without safeguards to protect against refoulement cannot be justified on the grounds of any health risk.” (Emphasis added).11 Expert organizations including Doctors Without Borders and Physicians for Human Rights have this week urged the administration not to use public health as an unsupported cover for immigration-policy changes: “Turning back men, women and children seeking refuge is not in accordance with public health guidance and will only endanger more lives and will make all of us less safe.”12

As a party to the U.N. Protocol Relating to the Status of Refugees, the United States has an obligation under that treaty and its implementing U.S. statute, the 1980 Refugee Act, not to “remove an alien to a country if [DHS] decides that the alien’s life or freedom would be threatened in that country because of the alien’s race, religion, nationality, membership in a particular social group, or political opinion.” 8 U.S.C. § 1231(b)(3)(A); see also INS v. Aguirre-Aguirre, 526 U.S. 415, 427 (1999). In addition, prior to U.S. ratification of the U.N. Convention Against Torture, also implemented via domestic law,13 see INS v. Stevic, 467 U.S. 407, 429-30 (1984), the Department of State emphasized that the Convention’s explicit prohibition of all torture, regardless of the circumstances, was understood by CAT’s drafters as “necessary if the Convention is to have significant effect, as public emergencies are commonly invoked as a source of extraordinary powers or as a justification for limiting fundamental rights and freedoms.”14 Both these legal obligations of the United States are at serious risk of repeated violations under DHS’s hasty and counterproductive commands.

In a brief expressing their opposition to the “Remain in Mexico” policy (MPP), current USCIS employees previously urged that “[a]sylum officers are duty bound to protect vulnerable asylum seekers from persecution. However, under the MPP, they face a conflict between the directives of their departmental leaders to follow the MPP and adherence to our Nation’s legal commitment to not returning the persecuted to a territory where they will face persecution. They should not be forced to honor departmental directives that are fundamentally contrary to the moral fabric of our Nation and our international and domestic legal obligations.”15 Once again, DHS is now placing federal officials in untenable moral and legal jeopardy by ordering asylum seekers to be returned without adequate fear screening or due process. We are united in condemning DHS’s illegal course of action and urge its reversal.

Please contact Sirine Shebaya, Executive Director, National Immigration Project of the National Lawyers Guild at sirine@nipnlg.org to discuss this urgent matter.
Sincerely,
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2 See https://twitter.com/diazbriseno/status/1241017890322747399?s=20. On Saturday March 21, 2020, the Washington Post reported that Mexico would “accept deportees from . . . Guatemala, Honduras and El Salvador – as long as they are adults in good health,” directly from Border Patrol “field processing tents,” implying that not even the bare process under Remain in Mexico will be accorded. See https://t.co/OMgwjtjwmAV. Remain in Mexico was recently declared unlawful by the U.S. Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit but allowed to continue by the Supreme Court pending resolution of the case’s legal merits.

Responding to the Saturday report, an unnamed Mexican official stated that “Central Americans will be accepted if they are in MPP or get a humanitarian visa. . . . If not — Mexico will make a decision case by case.” https://twitter.com/Haleaziz/status/1241455273618722816?s=20. For the Mexican government’s

3 From DHS’s representations this week, it is uncertain whether these removals would even adhere to the paltry process of expedited removal under 8 U.S.C. § 1225.

4 The Ingraham Angle (Mar. 20, 2020), https://youtu.be/Y139y21A7s0


6 CBP is apparently also eliminating asylum applications at ports of entry, promising on March 20, 2020 to “suspend or reduce routine queue management procedures at the limit line,” meaning that its current metering policy (see https://www.splcenter.org/presscenter/trump-asylum-transit-ban-blocked) of making asylum seekers wait for weeks or months will be even worsened. “Officials did not say whether any asylum-seeker will be referred to a USCIS screening.” https://twitter.com/camiloreports/status/1241166425747849216?s=20


9 The few CBP field personnel who have recently been trained are not capable of providing unbiased screening, see https://www.aclu.org/blog/immigrants-rights/ice-and-border-patrol-abuses/asylum-officers-are-being-replaced-cbp-agents

10 According to two unnamed “U.S. officials,” “migrants from nations like Cuba, Haiti and China will be held in U.S. detention and placed on deportation flights.” Nick Miroff, “Mexico to begin taking back Central American deportees as emergency border crackdown takes effect.” Washington Post (Mar. 21, 2020), https://t.co/OMgwtjwmAV

11 Refoulement is when a government returns migrants to a country where their lives or liberty may be threatened on account of race, religion, nationality, membership of a particular social group, or political opinion. See UN High Commissioner for Refugees (UNHCR), Key Legal Considerations on access to territory for persons in need of international protection in the context of the COVID-19 response (Mar. 16, 2020), https://www.refworld.org/docid/5e7132834.html

12 “Responding to the COVID-19 Crisis While Protecting Asylum Seekers.” (Mar. 19, 2020), https://docs.google.com/document/d/1beORFZsFhKgSd17qoOLkes9A0FeNC1yoGB5MiWOsB KU/edit
